

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-PIL No. 28 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 15.11.2018

Taruni Gandhi

.....Petitioner

versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE**

Present : Mr. Sunil Mallan, Advocate, for the petitioner.
 Mr. Suvir Sehgal, Sr. Standing Counsel with
 Mr. Parminder Singh Kanwar, Addl. Standing Counsel for U.T.
 Ms. Deepali Puri, Standing Counsel for M.C. Chandigarh.

KRISHNA MURARI, C.J.(oral)

The petitioner herein who purports to have approached this Court in public interest, seeks a writ of mandamus requiring the Chandigarh Administration to adhere to and comply with the standards and specifications as laid down in the Noise Pollution (Regulation and Control) Rules, 2000 read with Environment (Protection) Act, 1986. Especially as regards the silence zone falling in and in the vicinity of Chandigarh.

When the matter came up for consideration before us yesterday, we required the learned counsel for the Chandigarh Administration to look into the concerns raised in the petition and apprise the Court, particularly as regards permissions granted for organizing Literati-2018 at Lake Club, Chandigarh on 17.11.2018 and 18.11.2018. Accordingly, the matter was posted for today.

Resultantly, learned senior standing counsel for Union Territory, Chandigarh has produced a copy of the permission accorded by the District Magistrate, Chandigarh dated 13.11.2018 to the organizers for hosting Literati-2018, which specifically postulate that the sound system will be used as per the instructions issued by the Environment Department, Chandigarh Administration vide notification dated 19.01.2005 as also the public notice issued by the Chandigarh Pollution Control Committee.

A copy of the permission, referred to above, is taken on record and has also been handed over to the petitioner. And having examined the same he fairly concedes that nothing substantive survives in this petition, and the same be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

In the wake of the above, we close the proceedings in this petition and the same is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

15.11.2018
ravinder

Whether speaking/reasoned	√Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No√