

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-(PIL)-18-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 29.10.2018

Prem Kumar Phutela

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present:- Mr. Prateek Rathee, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * * *

KRISHNA MURARI, C.J. (ORAL)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies furnished.

In the nature of the order we propose to pass in this matter, no formal written statements/counter affidavits are indeed necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

The writ in the nature of mandamus is prayed for commanding the respondents to resort to appropriate measures to manage dangling wires, worn out electricity poles and stranded conductors as they pose a serious threat to the lives of the people in the town (Sirsa).

2. The petitioner, who purports to have approached this Court in public interest, submits that he himself had a harrowing experience as a cable wire dangling dangerously from a street lamp post got entangled with the wheel of his bike. As a result, he suffered multiple injuries. He alleged to have

apprised the respondent-authorities of the accident and also represented to take requisite measures to avert any such serious tragedy. In reference to the news item dated 14.09.2018 (Annexure P-2), he submits that though ostensibly the matter appears to have drawn attention of the respondent-authorities and the cable operators operating in the town were put to notice to remove the wires that have been dangling from the street lamp posts, but despite a considerable lapse of time since 14.09.2018, the matter has not made any tangible progress.

3. In response, learned State counsel for the respondents submits that the grievance of the petitioner as also the representation he alleged to have made shall be considered and dealt with without any further loss of time and appropriate orders in accordance with law shall be passed.

4. In the wake of the above, the petition is disposed of in terms of the statement made by learned State counsel for the respondents. Needless to assert that a comprehensive order shall be passed assigning reasons in respect thereof within a period of four weeks from today.

(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

29.10.2018
Amogh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No