

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP (PIL) No.11 of 2018
Date of Decision :15.10.2018**

Lakhvir Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE**

Present : Mr. Gagan Pradeep Singh Bal, Advocate for the petitioner.
KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral):

A writ in the nature of mandamus is prayed for commanding respondent No.1, to establish a railway crossing between Bhari-Khamanon on Morinda-Ludhiana Railway line for connecting Khamanon, Bhari, Khanna/Mandi Gobindgarh, as the respondents-authorities despite persistent demand have failed to resolve the crises or the acute difficulties being faced by the general public.

Learned counsel for the petitioner in reference to the letter dated 22.03.2013 (Annexure P-2), addressed to the Deputy Chief Engineer/Construction-III, Panchkula Side, Northern Railways, Chandigarh (respondent No.6), submits that on a consideration of the report furnished by the XEN, PWD (B&R) that new railway crossing does not fulfil technical requirements to accommodate heavy or loaded vehicles in view of the sharp bend and steep gradient of the level crossing, the Deputy Commissioner, Fatehgarh Sahib had requested the authorities that an alternative had to be looked for. And, even though, a considerable time has

elapsed but the matter has not made any tangible progress. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that let, at this stage, the petition be disposed of only with a direction to respondent No.6, to consider and deal with the grievances of the petitioner and appropriate orders be passed within a specified time.

In the wake of the above, we dispose of this petition with a direction to respondent No.6, to consider and deal with the grievances of the petitioner as expounded even by the Deputy Commissioner, Fatehgarh Sahib, in his letter, referred to above, within a period of 8 weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of this order. In the meanwhile, the petitioner too shall be at liberty to supplement his grievance by filing a fresh representation with a copy of the letter dated 22.03.2013 (Annexure P-2), appended therewith. Needless to assert that a comprehensive order shall be passed assigning reasons in support thereof.

(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

15.10.2018

Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No