

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.1139 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 10.05.2018

**Munshi Ram (deceased) through LR Banarsi Dass (deceased) through
LRs**

..... Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL**

Present: Mr. Deepak Sharma, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Samarth Sagar, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

RAJESH BINDAL J.

The judgment of learned Single Judge dated 24.12.1998 deciding a bunch of Regular First Appeals pertaining to determination of compensation for acquisition of land has been impugned by filing the present intra-court appeal.

Along with the appeal, applications seeking condonation of delay of 6230 days in filing and 60 days in re-filing thereof have also been filed.

At the first instance, it is highly doubtful whether intra-court appeal will be maintainable against the judgment of learned Single Judge passed in Regular First Appeal. However, we are not going into that issue but find that there is hardly any explanation to condone huge delay

of 6230 days in filing the present appeal when admittedly one of the LR at least is residing in the same village to which the land pertains too. Further Letter Patents Appeals filed by other landowners seeking enhancement of compensation were decided by this Court way back on 22.02.2006.

Learned counsel for the appellant had further pointed out that even Hon'ble the Supreme Court had decided the issues finally vide judgment in 'Ashrafi and others vs. State of Haryana and others (2013) 5 SCC 527.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, we do not find this to be a fit case to condone huge delay of 6230 days in filing the present appeal. Accordingly, the application seeking condonation of delay in filing the appeal is dismissed. Consequently, the appeal and application for condonation of delay in re-filing the appeal are dismissed.

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

10.05.2018
rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No