

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9951 of 2018
Date of decision: 24.04.2018

Rajeev Kumar and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Jitender S. Chahal, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl. AG, Haryana.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Contends that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decisions of this Court; dated 13.05.2016, rendered in CWP No.22428 of 2014 (Rajesh Kumar and others v. State of Haryana and others); dated 13.01.2017, rendered in CWP No.7086 of 2015 (Kuldeep Singh and others v. Dakshin Haryana Bijli vitran Nigam and others); dated 10.08.2017, rendered in CWP No.25997-2016 (Mahavir Singh and others v. State of Haryana and others) and, therefore, the present petition too is required to be disposed of in the same terms. Even the legal notice dated 21.08.2017 (Annexure P11), the respondents have been served with, has failed to evoke any response.

Notice of motion.

Mr. RK Doon, AAG, Haryana and Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Advocate, present in court, accept notice on behalf of respondents No.1 & 4 and respondents No.2 & 3, respectively.

Learned counsel for the respondents submit that claim/ grievance of the petitioners, as also their legal notice dated 21.08.2017 (Annexure P11), shall be considered and duly dealt with by the respondent-authorities within a period of three months from today. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed and communicated to the petitioners.

The petition is accordingly disposed of in the above terms.

Needless to assert that in the event the claim of the petitioners is declined, the respondent-authorities shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

24.04.2018
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES
NO