

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9898 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 23.04.2018

Jaswant Singh and others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of action of the respondents whereby the petitioners were not allowed to exercise fresh date of option w.e.f. 01.01.1993 instead of earlier date of options submitted by them in view of the judgment passed in CWP-7464-2009 (Annexure P-4) and in view of the notification dated 24.12.1992 (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel states that at this stage, he would be satisfied in case respondent No.1-Secretary, Department of Irrigation, Punjab Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh is directed to consider and decide the legal notice dated 25.11.2017 (Annexure P-8) in the light of judgment passed by this Court in CWP-25533-2017 titled as **Tarsem Singh and others** Vs. **State of Punjab and others**, decided on 09.11.2017 (Annexure P-7).

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1-Secretary, Department of Irrigation, Punjab Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh to consider the case of the petitioners in the light of assertion made. If, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the case of the petitioners is at par with the petitioners in the above said judgment, the competent authority is directed to pass the consequential order within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

23.04.2018

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No