

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

110

Civil Writ Petition No.9874 of 2018
Date of decision: April 23, 2018

S.S. Lohan

....Petitioner

versus

Haryana Shahri Vikas Pradhikaran (earlier Haryana Urban Development Authority), Panchkula and another

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present: Mr. Rishab Lohan, Advocate for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

1. The challenge in this petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is to the demand of ₹ 1,97,111/- vide letter dated 09.03.2018 (**Annexure P-7**) issued by respondent No.2 in respect of Plot No.99, Sector 38, Gurugram.

2. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, perusing the present writ petition and without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of by permitting the petitioner to file a detailed and comprehensive representation, laying claim as sought to be raised in the present writ petition before respondent No.2 within one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case, such a representation is filed, the same shall be decided by the said authority by passing a speaking order and after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner within next two months in accordance with law.

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

April 23, 2018
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No