

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9858 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 23.04.2018

Renu Bala and others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek issuance of directions to the respondents to grant the seniority in the cadre of ETT w.e.f. 26.12.2001 as per the merit and also to grant other consequential benefits after the refixation of the pay and seniority of the petitioners.

Learned counsel states that at this stage, he will be satisfied in case respondent No.2-Director, Public Instructions Primary Education, Punjab PSEB, Phase-8, Mohali is directed to consider and decide the case of the petitioners in the light of observation made by this Court in CWP-23413-2012 titled as **Pradeep and others** Vs. **State of Punjab and others**, decided on 29.11.2012 (Annexure P-1) and in CWP-7857-2015 titled as **Rajinder Kumar and others** Vs. **State of Punjab and another**, decided on 27.04.2015 (Annexure P-2).

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the

case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Director, Public Instructions Primary Education, Punjab PSEB, Phase-8, Mohali to consider the case of the petitioners in the light of assertion made. If, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the case of the petitioners is at par with the petitioners in the above said judgments, the competent authority is directed to pass the consequential order within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

23.04.2018

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No