

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**LPA No.1424 of 2015 (O&M) in
CWP No.907 of 2006
Date of Decision: 14.05.2018**

Mohinder Pal

..... Appellant

Versus

Presiding Officer, Labour Court Ambala and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL**

Present: Mr. Deepak Sharma, Advocate
for the appellant.

Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

RAJESH BINDAL J.

The order passed by the learned Single Bench partly allowing the writ petition to challenge an award of the Labour Court denying back wages but ordering reinstatement, has been impugned by filing the present intra-court appeal.

The appellant was working as a contractual driver. On account of an accident caused by him, one person died. He was terminated from service. The Labour Court finding that no inquiry was held directed his reinstatement, however, without any back wages.

Learned Single Judge modified the award of the Labour Court and granted him back wages to the extent of 50% from the date of his

termination till reinstatement. For the purpose, reference was made to judgment of Hon'ble the Supreme Court in *Reetu Marbles v. Prabhakant Shukla* 2010 (2) SCC 70. It is not in dispute that the appellant has already been reinstated back in service.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, we do not find any error in the order passed by the learned Single Judge. The appeal is dismissed.

**(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE**

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

14.05.2018
rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No