

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9678 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 23.04.2018

Aakash Deep

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Ramesh Sindhar, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By way of the present petition, the petitioner seeks issuance of directions to the respondents to consider the application of the petitioner for the post of PTI under notification No.1/2006 in pursuance of the representation dated 31.05.2017 (Annexure P-3).

Learned counsel states that at this stage, he will be satisfied in case respondent No.2-Director General, School Education, Punjab, Sector-62, SAS Nagar, Mohali is directed to consider and decide the case of the petitioner in view of the ratio of law laid down by this Court in case of **Manjit Singh** Vs. **State of Punjab and others**, 2010(3) SCT 703 and **Mohd. Riazul Usman Gani** Vs. **District & Sessions Judge, Nagpur**, 2000(2) SCT 10 and decided on 31.08.2010.

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent

No.2-Director General, School Education, Punjab, Sector-62, SAS Nagar, Mohali to consider the case of the petitioner in the light of assertion made. If, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the case of the petitioner is at par with the petitioner in the above said judgments, the competent authority is directed to pass the consequential order within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

23.04.2018

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No