

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9664 of 2018  
Date of decision: 20.04.2018

Monika

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI**

Present: Mr. Jatinder Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

**ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)**

Notice of motion.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl. AG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies furnished.

For the nature of order I propose to pass in the matter, no formal written statements/counter affidavits on behalf of the respondents are indeed necessary, at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

In response to the advertisement dated 19.06.2015 (Annexure P1), the petitioner competed for selection to the post of Multi Purpose Health Workers (MPHW) in the Dependent of Ex-Servicemen Category (General). She qualified the written examination and her documents were duly scrutinized. Whereafter, she even appeared for interview, but as per the final results pronounced by the Commission on 08.03.2018 (Annexure P7), she has been unsuccessful to qualify for selection. The limited grievance that

she has is; that as per the self-assessed OMR sheet compared with the answer key uploaded by the Commission on its website, the petitioner had secured over 100 marks, whereas the last candidate who has since been selected in the category of the petitioner had merely obtained 71 marks. It is urged that even though 16 marks were allocated for experience and 24 for viva-voce/interview, but still all what the petitioner strives to ascertain is the reason for rejection of her candidature.

In response, learned State counsel submits that claim/grievance of the petitioner shall be considered and dealt with by the respondents within a period of four weeks from today. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed and communicated to the petitioner as also to her counsel.

The petition is accordingly disposed of in the above terms.

Needless to assert that in the event the claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondent-authorities shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

20.04.2018  
Rajan

**( Arun Palli )**  
**Judge**

|                              |     |
|------------------------------|-----|
| Whether speaking / reasoned: | YES |
| Whether Reportable:          | NO  |