

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-9636-2018 (O & M)

Date of decision: 19.12.2018

Narinder Singh

.... Petitioner(s)

V/s

Union of India and anr.

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Gitish Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Manjul Rana, Advocate,
for Mr. R.S. Malik, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Raman Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

RAJAN GUPTA , J. (Oral)

Admittedly, during the pendency of this petition, the LPG distributorship has been allotted to respondent No.4 who has been impleaded under Order 1 Rule 10. It has been urged before the court that respondent No.3 was not eligible for allotment of distributorship as she also does not fulfill the criteria as per Policy, Annexures P-8 to P-10. Since there is no challenge in the petition to the allotment made to respondent No.3, petitioner is given liberty to challenge the allotment made to respondent No.3.

Disposed of.

Status quo order granted by this court shall continue to operate for another two weeks.

December 19, 2018
sukhpreet

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No