

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.113

Civil Writ Petition No.9615 of 2018
DECIDED ON: May 16, 2018

NARINDER KAUR

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Dilpreet Singh Gandhi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ especially in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant the dual family pension to the petitioner as per notification (P-2) and further to decide the representation and legal notice (P-4 & P-5) within some stipulated period.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though representation dated 07.11.2017 (P-4) and legal notice dated 18.01.2018 (P-5) were made to the respondents but till date no final decision has been taken by the concerned authorities. He further submits that she feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents to decide the legal notice (P-5) within some specified period.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into

Civil Writ Petition No.9615 of 2018

the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 18.01.2018 (P-5) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order as per rules, instructions and notification issued by the Government of Punjab from time to time, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, she shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.

May 16, 2018

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes
Yes/No**