

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 9572 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 20.04.2018

Roshan Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL*
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Brijender Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ajay Kumar Mittal, J.(Oral)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court impugning the order dated 19.03.2018 (Annexure P-7), whereby the petitioner has been allotted alternative plot at Sugar Mill Site, Budhlada. However, it is stated that the terms and conditions pertaining to installments and interest will be applicable as per allotment letter issued earlier.

2. It was urged by learned counsel for the petitioner that since the alternative plot has been allotted to the petitioner on 08.02.2018, the interest etc. could not be charged.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner prayed that liberty be granted to the petitioner to file a detailed and comprehensive representation before the appropriate authority by incorporating the grievance as raised in the present writ petition and a direction be issued to the authority concerned to decide the representation expeditiously in a time bound manner in accordance with law.

4. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, perusing the present writ petition and without commenting anything on the merits of the case, we dispose of the present petition by granting

liberty to the petitioner to file a detailed and comprehensive representation, raising all the pleas as raised in the present writ petition before respondent No. 3 within one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. It is directed that in the event of a representation being filed by the petitioner within a period of one month from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the order, the same shall be decided by the said authority by passing a speaking order and after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner within next two months in accordance with law. The petitioner shall be entitled to lead any evidence to substantiate his claim before the concerned authority.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

April 20, 2018
kanchan

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>