

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

120

1. CWP No. 9570 of 2018
Date of Decision: 20.4.2018

Sohan Singh

.....Petitioner

v.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

2. CWP No. 9491 of 2018

Lakhvir Singh

.....Petitioner

v.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

3. CWP No. 9619 of 2018

Ram Singh

.....Petitioner

v.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present:- Mr. K.B. Raheja, Advocate, for
Mr. D.S. Malwai, Advocate, for the petitioner(s)

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J.

This order shall dispose of three petitions bearing CWP No. 9570 of 2018 titled as **Sohan Singh v. State of Punjab and others** (for short the '1st petition'), CWP No. 9491 of 2018 titled as **Lakhvir Singh v. State of Punjab and others** (for short the '2nd petition') and CWP No. 9619 of 2018 titled as **Ram Singh v. State of Punjab and others** (for short the '3rd petition') as the

grievance of the petitioners in all these petitions is the same. However, for the sake of convenience, the facts are being extracted from the 1st petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) has submitted that the Food and Supplies Department, Punjab has given labour contract to the petitioners for the year 2018-2019 for Cluster No.3, Bhadaur. In terms of the said contract, the petitioners have to make arrangement for transportation of the food grains but their grievance is that the private respondents arrayed herein, who are members of the Truck Union, Barnala, are causing unnecessary hindrance in their work as they are insisting upon the hiring the trucks through their Union.

The petitioners have already made representation/complaint to the Deputy Commissioner, Barnala with copy to SSP, Barnala and DFSC, Barnala on 11.4.2018 before approaching this Court. It is submitted that since the work contract is for a short period and because of the intervention of the private respondents they are unnecessarily suffering a great deal of loss and the District Administration is not looking into their complaint as well. The petitioners have referred to previous orders passed by this Court in **CWP No. 24164 of 2011** titled as **Vishnu Rice Mills v. State of Punjab and others** decided on 22.12.2011 and **CWP No. 12477 of 2016** titled as **Gurpreet Singh v. State of Punjab and others** decided on 14.6.2016, in which this Court had directed the SSP concerned to look into the representation(s) and to take effective measures to redress their grievance.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has prayed that a similar order may be passed in their cases also by issuing appropriate direction to the SSP concerned. Since the prayer made by the petitioners is totally innocuous as the

petitioners are only asking for intervention in their representation by the District Administration, therefore, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petitions are hereby disposed of with a direction to the SSP concerned to immediately look into the grievance of the petitioners already made through their representations and decide the same in accordance with law after giving them an opportunity of hearing.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the connected case files.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

20.4.2018
Ashwani

Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Reportable	Yes/No