

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 9550 of 2018
Date of decision: 20.4.2018**

S.K. Nain

... Petitioner

Versus

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Sunil Kumar Nehra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion, confined to respondents No.1 and 2 only, at
this stage.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Additional A.G. Haryana, present in court,
accepts notice on their behalf. Copies supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written
statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed
necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the learned counsel for the
parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioner prays for a writ of Certiorari, to quash the
Ranking List, dated 23.1.2017 (Annexure P-6), as also the Seniority Lists,
dated 31.1.2017 (Annexure P-8), 26.5.2017 (Annexure P-10) and 2.11.2017
(Annexure P-12). A direction is also prayed for to respondents No.1 and 2 to
re-fix/re-draw the Ranking List, in terms of the decision of the Supreme

CWP No. 9550 of 2018

-2-

Court, dated 13.9.2017, rendered in Civil Appeal No. 7076 of 2010 (Parveen Gera Vs. Haryana State Electricity Board and others), and grant deemed promotion to the petitioner to the post of Assistant Executive Engineer/Executive Engineer, with all consequential benefits. The petitioner purports to have even represented to the respondents in this regard, vide representation, dated 26.12.2017 (Annexure P-13). But to no avail.

In response, learned State counsel submits that the claim/grievance of the petitioner, as also his representation, dated 26.12.2017 (Annexure P-13), shall be considered and dealt with by the respondents within a period of three months from today. And, appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed and communicated to the petitioner.

The petition is accordingly, disposed of, in the above terms. However, in the event the claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondent authorities shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

20.4.2018
Ak Sharma

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO