

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.9549 of 2018

Date of decision: April 20, 2018

Somdutt

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Mr. Randeep Singh Dhull, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has prayed for extension of parole already granted to him. It is averred that the period of 15 days' parole for the purpose of managing admissions of his children is very less.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and taking into consideration the facts and circumstances narrated in the petition, I do not find it to be a fit case for the purpose of granting extension of parole as the period of 15 days is quite sufficient for the purpose of making arrangements for admission of the children, otherwise the reason, as projected by learned counsel for the petitioner, that the petitioner would come out of the jail and would do some work to earn money for the purpose of getting admission of his children appears to be a lame excuse and cannot be accepted.

In view of the above, I do not find any merit in the present petition for the purpose of interference by this Court and hence, the same is hereby dismissed.

April 20, 2018
vinod*

(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No