

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 9548 of 2018
Date of decision: 20.4.2018

Raj Kumar and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Jitender S. Chahal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Contends, that the matter in issue is squarely covered by an order and judgment, dated 13.5.2016, rendered by this Court in CWP No. 22428 of 2014 (Rajesh Kumar and others Vs. State of Haryana and others), [Annexure P-10], and in CWP No. 7086 of 2015 (Kuldeep Singh and others Vs. Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and others) [Annexure P-12] and in CWP No. 25997 of 2016 (Mahavir Singh and others Vs. State of Haryana and others) [Annexure P-13]. Accordingly, it is urged that the present petition too is required to be disposed of in the same terms, as the petitioners herein are also entitled to the same relief.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Additional A.G. Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies furnished.

CWP No. 9548 of 2018

-2-

The factual position, as set out above, is not disputed by the learned State counsel, who rather fairly submits that claim of the petitioners is, indeed, required to be examined in the light of the decisions of this Court, referred to above. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed by the respondent authorities, within a period of three months from today.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in the above terms. In the event, claim of the petitioners is declined, the respondent authorities shall pass a comprehensive order, assigning reasons in support thereof.

20.4.2018
AK Sharma

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO