

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 9501 of 2018
Date of decision: 20.4.2018**

Krishan Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. M.S. Randhawa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Additional A.G. Haryana, present in court,
accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written
statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed
necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the learned counsel for the
parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioner prays for a direction to the respondents to
consider his claim for granting antedate promotion w.e.f. 01.01.1996, with
all consequential benefits, under 3% reservation earmarked for physically
handicapped employees, in terms of the Haryana Government Notification
dated 03.02.2017 (Annexure P-4), as also the letter dated 17/19.04.2017
(Annexure P5). The petitioner purports to have repeatedly represented to the
respondents in this regard. But to no avail. Even the representation, dated
31.10.2017 (Annexure P-8), the respondents have been served with, has
failed to evoke any response.

CWP No. 9501 of 2018

-2-

Learned State counsel submits that the claim/grievance of the petitioner, as also his representation, dated 31.10.2017 (Annexure P-8), shall be considered and dealt with by the respondents within a period of three months from today. And, appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed and communicated to the petitioner.

The petition is accordingly, disposed of, in the above terms. However, in the event the claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondent authorities shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

20.4.2018
Ak Sharma

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO