

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-9439-2018

Date of Decision: April 19, 2018

Ujjwal AggarwalPetitioner
Versus
Oriental Bank of Commerce and anotherRespondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN**

- | | | |
|----|---|---------|
| 1. | To be referred to the Reporters or not? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? | Yes/No. |
| 3. | Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? | Yes/No |

.....

Present: Mr.Salil Dev Singh Bali, Advocate for the petitioner.

.....

SURYA KANT, J.

The principal grievance of the petitioner, who is the auction purchaser, is against interlocutory orders passed by DRT, Delhi including the one rejecting his application for impleadment as a party-respondent in SA No.116 of 2016 (**Mamta Gupta vs Oriental Bank of Commerce and another**) filed by the borrower against the Bank.

[2] In our considered view, all these orders are appealable before the Debts Recovery Appellate Tribunal. In view of the availability of an effective alternative remedy, we dispose of this writ petition with liberty to avail the above-said remedy.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

April 19, 2018
meenuss

**(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |