

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 26.04.2018

CWP No.9405 of 2018

Nirdosh Kumar

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Sushil Kumar Verma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner applied under a category, which was not provided in the advertisement i.e. OSP-EBPGC. This ambiguity renders the on-line application liable to be rejected. The EBPGC reservation is pending challenge in this Court. The combination of OSP-EBPGC was a figment of imagination of the petitioner.

Consequently, I do not find that any ground for interference is made out and would dismiss the petition.

26.04.2018

Vimal

**[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*