

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 9400 of 2018
Date of decision: 19.4.2018**

Gurdeep Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner was employed as a part time Sweeper with the respondent department on 1.11.1996. His services were regularised w.e.f. 8.8.2014. The limited grievance that he has is; that in terms of the regularisation policy, dated 11.11.2003 (Annexure P-2 colly), his services ought to have been regularised w.e.f. 01.11.2003, for he fulfilled all the requisite conditions. And, in any case w.e.f. 1.4.2011, when services of one Raj Kumar, who was appointed subsequent to the petitioner, i.e. on 1.4.2003, were regularised. The petitioner purports to have repeatedly represented to the respondents in this regard, but to no avail. Even the legal notice, dated 15.1.2018 (Annexure P-6), the respondents have been served with, has failed to evoke any response.

That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner, as also his legal notice, dated 15.1.2018 (Annexure P-6), within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order. And pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. In the event the claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondent authority shall pass a comprehensive order, assigning reasons in support thereof.

19.4.2018
AK Sharma

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

YES
NO