

**CWP No.9312 of 2018
DECIDED ON: APRIL 19, 2018**

SUMAN BALA

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. P.K. Goklaney, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release the amount of family pension to the tune of 50% in favour of her, from the date when the department has stopped the pension of her son i.e. from 01.06.2017, being legally wedded wife of deceased Rajiv Kumar, as the petitioner is fully eligible and entitled for the same.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that though legal notice dated 19.02.2018 (P-5) was duly served upon the petitioner but till date neither any reply has been received nor any conscious decision has been taken. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents to consider and decide the afore-said legal notice within some stipulated period.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 19.02.2018 (P-5) and to decide the same in accordance with law, rules and regulations as well as instructions issued by the Government from time to time within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, in case the petitioner still feels aggrieved by any order of the afore-said authority, she shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies available to her under law including to approach this Court.

April 19, 2018

sham

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*
Whether reportable *Yes/No*