

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 9303 of 2018
Date of decision: 23.4.2018**

B.M. Vashisht

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion, confined to respondents No.1, 2 and 4 to 6 only, at this stage.

Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG, Haryana, present in court, accepts notice on their behalf. Copies supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the learned counsel for the parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

Vide advertisement, dated 26.2.2018 (Annexure P-6), the post of Director is sought to be filled in the respondent-College. The limited grievance that the petitioner has is; that besides the requisite qualification/experience, an in-service candidate is also required to be drawing ₹10,000/- as grade pay, whereas, the petitioner is placed in a pay band of ₹37,400-67,000+9500 Grade Pay.

CWP No. 9303 of 2018

-2-

In response, learned State counsel submits that claim/grievance of the petitioner shall be considered and duly dealt with by the respondents, within a period of four weeks from today. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in the above terms. In the event, the claim/grievance of the petitioner is declined, the respondent authority shall pass a comprehensive order, assigning reasons in support thereof.

23.4.2018
Ak Sharma

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO