

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 9252 of 2018
Date of decision: 18.4.2018**

Haryana Power Engineer's Association

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. V.K. Jindal, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr. Gopal Soni, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sidharth Sanwaria, DAG, Haryana, and Mr. S.S. Narula, Advocate, present in court, accept notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2, respectively. Copies supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the learned counsel for the parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioner-association is aggrieved by the performance criteria (Annexure P-4), for implementation of the transfer policy (Annexure P-2). They purport to have even represented to the respondents in this regard, vide representation dated 20.3.2018 (Annexure P-6). But to no avail.

In response, learned counsel for the respondents submit that claim/grievance of the petitioner-association as also its representation, dated 20.3.2018 (Annexure P-6), shall be considered and dealt with within a period of four weeks from today. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the duly authorised representative of the petitioner-association.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in the above terms. Needless to assert that in the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order, assigning reasons in support thereof.

18.4.2018
Ak Sharma

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES
NO