

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**FAO-M-324 OF 2016
DATE OF DECISION : 31.07.2018**

Mehak @ Silki

...Appellant

versus

Ajay Singh

...Respondent

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M. M. S. BEDI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present : Mr. B. S. Jaswal, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr. Akhilesh Vyas, Advocate,
for the respondent.

M. M. S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

Aggrieved by the decree of divorce granted to the respondent-husband, the appellant-wife has preferred this appeal.

During the pendency of the appeal, the parties have entered into a compromise Exhibit CX, before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre. The parties have agreed to comply with the terms of settlement.

A cheque to the tune of ₹2,50,000/- in the name of minor child, has been handed over to counsel for the appellant, as part performance of the terms of settlement. One of the terms of settlement is that a compromise quashing petition will be filed in this Court.

Counsel for the respondent submits that as per the terms of settlement, the appellant will withdraw all the criminal cases by facilitating the filing of compromise quashing petition in the criminal case under Sections 498-A/406 IPC and would withdraw the complaint filed under the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005.

In view of the above, the appeal is dismissed. Ex. CX is made part of the record. The parties will remain bound by the terms of settlement Ex.CX. The judgment and decree dated 26.7.2016 passed by the Additional District Judge, Amritsar is hereby affirmed. It is observed that in case of non-compliance of terms of settlement Ex.CX, any of the party can approach this Court for further directions.

(M. M. S. BEDI)
JUDGE

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

JULY 31, 2018
shalini

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
2. Whether reportable : YES/NO