

114

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-922-2018

Date of decision-08.03.2018

Shubhpreet Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. L.S.Bhullar, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned order/letter dated 12.05.2016 (Annexure P-9).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that case of the petitioner is covered by the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No.21444 of 2010 and other connected matters titled as “**Harwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others**” decided on 30.01.2013 (Annexure P-11). He further states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.1-Principal Secretary, Department Home Affairs and Justice, Punjab, Chandigarh to consider and decide the representation dated 17.08.2016 (Annexure P-10) in view of Annexure P-11.

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1-

Principal Secretary, Department Home Affairs and Justice, Punjab, Chandigarh to consider and decide the representation dated 17.08.2016 (Annexure P-10) in view of Annexure P-11 within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

08.03.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No