

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.9183 OF 2018
DECIDED ON: APRIL 18, 2018

JEETO DEVI

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Articles 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioner from the date her juniors have been regularized i.e. under the instructions dated 31.12.1998/25.02.1999 (P-colly) w.e.f. 01.03.1999. And further grant all consequential benefits i.e. pay fixation, seniority, arrears of salary and to allot GPF number to her for subscription of GPF as well as to grant pension and arrears along with interest.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though legal notice dated 25.07.2017 (P-8) was duly served upon the respondents but till date no decision has been taken by the respondents. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is

issued to respondents to decide aforesaid legal notice within a stipulated period.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in legal notice dated 25.07.2017 (P-8) and to decide the same in accordance with rules and instructions issued by government from time to time, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any order of the aforesaid authority, she shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

APRIL 18, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>