

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 917 OF 2018
DECIDED ON: JANUARY 18, 2018

SUDESH KUMARI

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

UHBVN LTD. AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajni Kant Upadhyay, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to release the pensionary benefits of the husband (since deceased) of the petitioner.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though legal notice dated 17.11.2017 (Annexure P-9) was duly served upon the respondents but till date no conscious decision has been taken. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondents to decide aforesaid legal notice, within a stipulated period.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondents particularly Secretary, Haryana Electricity Regulatory Commission, UHBVN Ltd.

Panchkula to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in her legal

notice dated 17.11.2017 (Annexure P-9) and to take a conscious decision, in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against any of the order(s) passed by the concerned authority, she shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

JANUARY 18, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>