

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 9165 of 2018
Date of decision: 18.4.2018**

Shimla Devi

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner was employed as a part time Sweeper with the respondent department on 19.10.1988. Her services were regularised w.e.f. 12.9.2014. The limited grievance that she has is; that the persons junior to her, particularly one Shishpal, who was appointed subsequent to the petitioner, i.e. on 1.1.1991, his services have since been regularised w.e.f. 1.3.1999. Thus, it is urged that the petitioner too ought to have been regularised from the same date. She purports to have repeatedly represented to the respondents in this regard, but to no avail. Even the legal notice, dated 11.1.2018 (Annexure P-6), the respondents have been served with, has failed to evoke any response.

CWP No. 9165 of 2018

-2-

That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner, as also her legal notice, dated 11.1.2018 (Annexure P-6), within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order. And pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. In the event the claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondent authority shall pass a comprehensive order, assigning reasons in support thereof.

18.4.2018
AK Sharma

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

YES
NO