

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9135 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 18.04.2018

Tanpreet Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Aakash Singla, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By way of the present petition, the petitioner seeks issuance of directions to the respondent to re-consider the case of the petitioner for compassionate appointment.

Learned counsel states that when the representation dated 05.07.2017 (Annexure P-6) of the petitioner had not been decided, the petitioner chose to serve legal notice dated 15.08.2017 (Annexure P-7). In response to the legal notice, the respondents sent a letter dated 06.09.2017 (Annexure P-8) and another letter dated 26.09.2017 (Annexure P-9), however, the final view in the matter has not been taken.

Heard.

Considering the fact that the representation is pending since 05.07.2017, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1-Principal Secretary, School Education Department, Government of Punjab, Punjab

Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh to take a final view in the matter within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. The decision taken be communicated to the petitioner. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of three months thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

18.04.2018

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No