

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9095 of 2018
Date of decision: 17.04.2018

Manoj Rawal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Suresh Kumar Redhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, AAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies furnished.

For the nature of order I propose to pass in the matter, no formal written statements/counter affidavits on behalf of the respondents are indeed necessary, at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioner is employed with the respondent-College as an Associate Professor. His case is that under Rule 9(f)(iii) of the Haryana Medical Education Service (Amendment) Rules 2007, an Associate Professor, if he fulfills the qualifications and experience for the post of Professor, as envisaged in column 5 Appendix B, he shall be re-designated as a Professor. The petitioner purports to possess the requisite qualifications and experience and thus prays for a direction to the respondents to consider his claim as such in the department of Pediatrics. He purports to have

repeatedly represented to the respondents in this regard. But to no avail. Even, the representation dated 02.02.2018 (Annexure P10), the respondents have been served with, has failed to evoke any response. On the contrary, pursuant to an advertisement dated 16.01.2018 (Annexure P9), one post of Professor has since been advertised by the respondents.

In response, learned State counsel submits that the claim/grievance of the petitioner, as also his representation dated 02.02.2018 (Annexure P10), shall be considered and dealt with by the respondents within a period of two weeks from today. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed.

The petition is accordingly disposed of in the above terms.

Needless to assert, in the event the claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondent-authorities shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

17.04.2018
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO