

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 9090 of 2018
Date of decision: 17.4.2018**

Jai Narain Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and others ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. V.D. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Additional A.G., Haryana, present in court,
accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written
statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed
necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the learned counsel for the
parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

Vide order dated, 13.4.2018 (Annexure P-5), the petitioner has
since been promoted from Lineman to officiating Assistant Foreman. The
limited grievance that he has is; that pursuant to the said order, he has also
been posted at OP Sub Division UHBVN, Meham, whereas, he has been
working all through in the workshop organization. And though pursuant to
the policy decision, dated 11.4.2013 (Annexure P-1), it was resolved that

CWP No. 9090 of 2018

there shall be a common seniority list of the staff working in various TRWs and General Workshops, but those working in workshop organization would continue to work as such. Thus, posting of the petitioner in operation division is erroneous. He purports to have even served the respondents with a representation, dated 6.4.2018 (Annexure P-6). But to no avail.

In response, learned State counsel submits that claim/grievance of the petitioner as also his representation, dated 6.4.2018 (Annexure P-6), shall be considered and decided within a period of two weeks from today. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law. And, till a decision is taken, the petitioner shall not be relieved from his original place of posting.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in the above terms. In the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order, assigning reasons in support thereof.

17.4.2018
AK Sharma

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO