

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

141

**CWP-9077-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision:16.04.2018**

Satinder Pal Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr.Parminder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (ORAL)

In the instant writ petition, petitioner has challenged advertisement No. 2/2017 (Annexure P-21) and interview schedule letter to the post of Assistant Engineer (Electrical) (Annexure P-22).

2. Petitioner was appointed on contract basis to the post of Assistant Engineer (Electrical). While working as such, respondents have issued advertisement for filling up of the post of Assistant Engineer (Electrical) on permanent basis. Petitioner was a candidate for recruitment to the post. Pursuant to the advertisement No. 2/2017 respondents found that none of them were eligible. Whereas petitioner has presented CWP No. 2301 of 2018 which is pending consideration in respect of advertisement No.2/2017.

3. Respondents have re-advertised the post vide advertisement No. 20 of 2017 for which petitioner is not candidate. Now pursuant to the advertisement No. 20/2017 respondents are proceeded to invite eligible candidates for interview which is to be held on 19.04.2018. Petitioner has no locus standi insofar as challenge to the advertisement no. 20/2017 and so

also inviting 6 eligible candidates for interview which is to be held on 19.04.2018. Moreover, petitioner has not impleaded 6 candidates who have been called for interview when he has challenged Annexure P-22. At the outset petitioner has no locus standi insofar as challenge to Annexures P-21 and P-22. However, if petitioner succeeds in CWP No. 2301 of 2018, respondents have to accommodate him pursuant to Advertisement No. 2/2017.

4. Accordingly, CWP stands dismissed.

16.04.2018

pooja saini

(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasons

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No