

117

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9059 of 2018
Date of Decision: 10.04.2018

Satnam Singh and others

....Petitioners

Vs

Chandigarh Administration through Secretary UT Chandigarh
and another

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL**

Present: Mr. Mandeep Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

The only grievance of the petitioners is that despite making numerous representations (Annexures P-1 to P-8), no action has been taken by respondent No.2.

Before adverting to the factual details given by the petitioner, we are of the view that respondent No.2 should decide the representations made by the petitioners at the first instance.

Respondent No.2 is directed to have a fair look on the grievance of the petitioners and decide the same with reference to material brought before him within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Disposed of.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

10.04.2018
Jyoti

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No