

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 9053 of 2018

Date of Decision: April 10, 2018

Kala Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Rajesh Kumar Girdhar, Advocate for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner is that despite filing representation dated 30.03.2018 before respondent No. 3 highlighting the ineligibility of respondent No. 4, no action has been taken by respondent No. 3 till date.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. P.S. Bajwa, Addl. A.G. Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

In view of the nature of order that is being passed there is no need to call for any reply from the State.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No. 3 to look into the grievance of the petitioner and to pass appropriate order in accordance with law without being influenced by any statement of facts made hereinabove within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

April 10, 2018

reena

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No