

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(222)

FAO-M-104-2016

Decided on: March 13, 2018.

Sombeer

.... Appellant

Versus

Sudha

..... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL**

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Pankaj Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the respondent.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondent-wife has submitted that on account of previous conduct of the appellant-husband, it is not feasible for the respondent-wife to join the company of the appellant.

Counsel for the respondent is not sure whether the appellant-husband wants divorce, in said circumstances.

This is second consecutive date when the appellant has opted not to appear.

Case called twice. No one has put in appearance on behalf of the appellant.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

**(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE**

March 13, 2018.

harsha

**(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No