

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RFA No.2874 of 2013 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision: 01.06.2018**

Smt. Marwan and others

.... Appellant(s).

Versus

State of Haryana and others.

....Respondent(s).

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA.**

\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr.Shailendra Jain, Sr. Advocate with  
Mr.Satyendra Jain, Advocate and  
Mr.H.J.Singh, Advocate,  
Mr.Pawan Kumar, Sr. Advocate with  
Mr.Rozar Kumar, Advocate,  
Mr.R.A.Yadav, Advocate,  
Mr.Sudhir Aggarwal, Advocate,  
Mr.S.P.Chahar, Advocate,  
Mr.Sandeep Kotla, Advocate,  
Mr.Amit Jain, Advocate  
Mr.Neeraj Jain, Advocate for  
Mr.Jagmohan Ghumman, Advocate,  
Mr.Kul Bhushan Sharma, Advocate,  
Mr.Pawan Kumar, Advocate for  
Mr.Saurabh Arora, Advocate,  
Mr.Rakesh Dhiman, Advocate,  
Ms.Mehak Sawhney, Advocate for  
Mr.Vinod S. Bhardwaj, Advocate,  
Ms.Minakshi Poswal, Advocate for  
Mr.R.S.Mamli, Advocate,  
Mr.Pawan Malik, Advocate,  
Ms.Nisha Advocate for  
Mr.Adarsh Jain, Advocate,  
Mr.Ashok Tyagi, Advocate,  
Mr.Gaurav Arora, Advocate for  
Mr.Sachin Mittal, Advocate,  
Mr.Ashwani Gaur, Advocate,  
Mr.Atul Yadav, Advocate for the landowners.

Mr.Sudeep Mahajan, Addl. A.G., Haryana  
for State as well as for HSIIDC.

Ms.Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana.

Mr.Pritam Saini, Advocate for HSIIDC.

\*\*\*

**G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J.**

In view of the detailed judgement of even date passed in ***RFA No.384 of 2013 - “Tej Singh and another Vs. State of Haryana and others”***, the appeals filed by the HSIIDC are allowed and the awards in question are modified whereas the appeals along with the cross-objections, filed by the landowners are dismissed. The market value is, thus, fixed at Rs.43,61,400/- per acre along with all statutory benefits as on 27.09.2005.

It is to be further noticed that before the Reference Court, compromise Ex-C-1 had taken place whereby 40% of the compensation amount was to be paid to Dharambir and Rajinder whereas 60% was to go to respondents No.3 to 7 and 11 as arrayed before the Reference Court in equal shares and enhanced compensation was also to be disbursed accordingly.

In such circumstances, the liability as per the compromise deed would also be pending upon the above said respondents for the purpose of recovery in case the amount has been paid in excess to the land owners or for balance payment where the enhanced amount has not been paid as per their entitlement as such.

**June 01, 2018**  
raman

**(G.S.SANDHAWALIA)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No