

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 8967 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 13.11.2018

Food Corporation of India Worker's Palledar Union ...Petitioner

Vs.

The Central Provident Fund Commissioner and others ...Respondents

2. CWP No. 27140 of 2017 (O&M)

Food Corporation of India Worker's Union ...Petitioner

Vs.

The Central Provident Fund Commissioner and others ...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Vikas Bahl, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Arvind Sood, Advocate,
Mr. Jai Bhagwan Sharma, Advocate
Mr. Harbani Shinh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Hooda, Advocate for respondent Nos. 1 & 2.

Mr. K. K. Gupta, Advocate for Food Corporation of India.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

CM Nos. 15625-26 of 2018

CMs are allowed as prayed for.

Written statement on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 is
taken on record.

Main Cases

This order shall dispose of both the above noted two
petitions.

Assessments under 'Section 7-A of the Employees Provident
Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 have been finalized in

these two petitions against the Food Corporation of India. The amount determined in CWP No. 8967 of 2018 is Rs. 12, 69, 023/- and in CWP No. 27140 of 2017 is Rs. 83,01, 598/-.

Mr. Gupta submits that an appeal alongwith application for waiver of deposit of the determined amount, has been filed before CGIT against the order passed under Section 7-A of the Act concerning CWP No. 8967 of 2018. But, in the other matter, Regional Office of FCI at Chandigarh has not received the copy of the order so far and FCI will also file an appeal in the said matter as well.

These turn of events render both the petitions infructuous.

Mr. Vikas Bahl, Senior Advocate appearing for the petitioning workmen submits that the matter being an old one with the workers eagerly awaiting deposit of their PF dues in the office of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, some time bound directions may be issued to the Tribunal to decide the appeals within a reasonable time.

Accordingly, both the petitions are disposed of as infructuous. It is expected that the Tribunal will take up the appeals and decide them as expeditiously as possible in accordance with law.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

13.11.2018

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*