

118

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-8910-2018

Date of decision-16.04.2018

Balraj Singh and others

Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. K.S.Kahlon, Advocate for the petitioners.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant the petitioners benefit in terms of judgment passed by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in State of Punjab Vs. Jagjit Singh, 2016 (4) SCT 641 and to grant the petitioners the benefit of minimum of the regular pay scale of the post on which they are working with allowances.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that case of the petitioners is covered by the ratio of law laid down in the judgment passed by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in “**State of Punjab Vs. Jagjit Singh**”, 2016 (4) SCT 641. Further he states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Chief Engineer, Water Supply & Sanitation Punjab, Mall Road, Patiala to consider and decide the representation dated 16.03.2017 (Annexure P-2).

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Chief Engineer, Water Supply & Sanitation Punjab, Mall Road, Patiala to consider and decide the representation dated 16.03.2017 (Annexure P-2) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible to them or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

16.04.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No