

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

229

FAO-M-486-2015
with CMM-203-2015
Date of Decision: 05.09.2018

SANJOGEETA DEVI

.... Appellant.

Versus

SUSHIL KUMAR

...Respondent.

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present: Ms. Neha Jain, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Namit Gautam, Advocate
for the respondent.

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

Aggrieved by the judgment and decree dated 23.09.2015 whereby the respondent-husband has been granted decree of divorce by the Court of Additional District Judge, Chandigarh, the appellant-wife has preferred this appeal.

During pendency of the appeal filed by the appellant-wife, the parties have entered into a settlement Ex.CX, which has been made part of the record. As per the terms of the said settlement deed, the parties have decided to part company, subject to the terms of the settlement arrived at between them, which, *inter alia*, includes a term of payment of lump sum alimony of ₹ 10 lakh and a house for the appellant to reside therein.

Counsel for the appellant submits that the terms of the settlement have been adhered to by the parties.

In view of the said circumstances, appeal is permitted to be withdrawn. The judgment and decree passed by the lower Court is hereby affirmed. Parties will abide by the terms of settlement Ex.CX. It will be open to any of the parties to approach this Court within reasonable time to enforce the terms, in case there is any violation by any of the parties.

The miscellaneous application under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 is also dismissed as withdrawn.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

05.09.2018.
SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO

Whether reportable : YES/NO