

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106

**Civil Writ Petition No.8800 of 2018
Date of decision: April 21, 2018**

Ankush Kumar and others

....Petitioners

versus

The State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL***

Present: Mr. Ravinder Singh Dhull, Advocate for the petitioners.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

1. The challenge in this petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is to the approval letter dated 14.08.2015 (**Annexure P-9**), letter dated 20.10.2016 (**Annexure P-10**) and subsequent lease deed dated 26.02.2016 (**Annexure P-4**) vide which 9 acres of prime land has been allotted to respondent No.4-NGO at the behest of respondents No.2 and 5 at throwaway price of ₹ 5 lac ignoring the market rate as well as the fact that the land was acquired for usage of Mela Ground in the year 1990. Further prayer has also been made to direct respondent No.6 to initiate an independent inquiry into the acts and conduct of the officials and members of respondents No.2 and 5 and the resolution dated 31.08.2006 (**Annexure P-1**) be adhered to vide which the allotment of land from the Kurukshetra Mela Ground was barred so that the purpose of acquisition is not defeated.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioners to approach the authorities in accordance with law for the rederssal of the grievance as raised in the present writ petition.
3. Dismissed as withdrawn.
4. It shall, however, be open to the petitioners to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to them, in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

April 21, 2018
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No