

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.8779 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision.07.08.2018

CARLTON Overseas Pvt. Ltd.

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL**

Present: Mr. Aashish Chopra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mamta Singla Talwar, DAG, Haryana.

-.-

RAJESH BINDAL J.

As the prayer made in the petition is for restraining the respondents from interfering in peaceful possession of the petitioner on the land in dispute, acquisition pertaining to which, according to the petitioner, has lapsed in view of Section 24(2) of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 (for short, 2013 Act) in terms of the order passed by this Court on 30.11.2016. The State has filed Special Leave Petition against the aforesaid order.

Be that as it may, as the prayer made is only for restraining the respondents from interfering in peaceful possession of the petitioner on the land in dispute, in our view, writ is not the appropriate remedy as the petitioner can avail of his appropriate

remedy in the Civil Court.

Ordered accordingly.

The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

August 07, 2018
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No