

**164 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.8772 of 2018

DATE OF DECISION:05.04.2018

Parveen

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana & others

..Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Manoj Kumar Taya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that only by passing two lines order the stay application has been rejected and no reason whatsoever has been mentioned. The appeal is still pending before the appellate authority for 08.05.2018. The purpose of filing appeal is going to be frustrated.

Meanwhile, respondent No.2 is directed to make all efforts to decide the pending appeal on the next date of hearing or for any sufficient reason the appeal is not heard on the date fixed, the same be decided within a period of two weeks thereafter.

Meanwhile, operation of impugned order (Annexure P-4) passed in the application shall remain stayed till the disposal of appeal.

Disposed of.

06.04.2018
rimpal

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No