IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CWP No.8756 of 2018
Date of Decision: 06.04.2018

Baldev Singh ....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. D.S. Pheruman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

*****

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to consider the conduct of convict-Dilbagh Singh (respondent No.7) while releasing him pre-maturely.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has made representations to the authorities concerned and he is having an apprehension that conduct of respondent No.7 may not be taken into consideration by the concerned authorities while releasing him pre-maturely.

Heard the arguments of learned counsel for the petitioner and have also perused the documents available on the file.

Only on the basis of apprehension, the present petition has been filed. There is no cause of action to the petitioner to file the present petition. The concerned/competent authority is always expected to consider the

Gurpreet Kaur
2018.04.09 16:03
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
High Court

conduct of a convict while releasing any convict pre-maturely. There is no ground to apprehend that the conduct of respondent No.7 will not be taken into consideration. Moreover, the petitioner has filed various representations to the authorities concerned. It is expected that the concerned authority will look into the allegations in the representation while passing the order of pre-mature release of respondent No.7.

However, no direction can be issued and the present petition, being devoid of any merit, is hereby dismissed.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

06.04.2018
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No

Gurpreet Kaur
2018.04.09 16:03
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
High Court