

117

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-8716-2018 (O&M)  
Date of decision-03.07.2018

Dal Bahadur and others

Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Deepak Girotra, Advocate for the petitioners.

\*\*\*

**JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.**

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant the benefits of arrears of special increments along with interest.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that case of the petitioners is covered by a judgment passed by a Division Bench of this Court in LPA Nos.1161-2009 and other connected matters, titled as “Tarlok Chand and others Vs. State of Punjab and others” decided on 08.09.2010 (Annexure P-6); judgment passed by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No.6540-2014, titled as “State of Punjab and others Vs. Tarlok Chand and others” decided on 16.07.2014 (Annexure P-7); judgments passed by this Court in CWP-16090-2015, titled as “Thein Dam Workers Union (Regd.) Vs. State of Punjab and others” decided on 21.08.2015 (Annexure P-9) and in CWP-22694-2016, titled as “Narinder Singh and

others Vs. State of Punjab and others” decided on 20.02.2018 (Annexure P-10). Further he states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.4-Chief Engineer, Irrigation Works, Ranjit Sagar Dam Project, Shahpur Kandi Township, Pathankot, District Gurdaspur, Punjab to consider and decide the representation dated 18.06.2015 (Annexure P-8) in view of Annexures P-6, 7, 9 and 10.

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4-Chief Engineer, Irrigation Works, Ranjit Sagar Dam Project, Shahpur Kandi Township, Pathankot, District Gurdaspur, Punjab to consider and decide the representation dated 18.06.2015 (Annexure P-8) in view of Annexures P-6, 7, 9 and 10 within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible to them or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**  
**JUDGE**

**03.07.2018**

vanita

|                             |     |    |
|-----------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable :        | Yes | No |