

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.119

Civil Writ Petition No.8694 of 2018
DECIDED ON: April 06, 2018

ROSHAN LAL

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Ramandeep Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to release all the retiral benefits and pension along with interest.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though various representations (P-4 to P-6) were made to the respondents but no decision has been taken so far. He further contends that neither any judicial nor any departmental inquiry is pending against him. He further submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide his representation dated 18.10.2017 (P-6) within some specified period.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.2 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the representation dated

Civil Writ Petition No.8694 of 2018

18.10.2017 (P-6) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order as per rules and instructions issued by the Government from time to time within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. If there is no legal impediment to release the aforesaid relief(s) claimed, same be released to him within next 45 days. Factum of interest in view of judgment passed by Full Bench of this Court in case **A.S. Randhawa vs. State of Punjab & Ors., 1997 (3) SCT 468** as well as judgment passed by this Court in CWP No.8772 of 2015 captioned as **Charan Dass vs. State of Punjab & Ors.** decided on July 11, 2017 and Instructions No.1/2(152) 01-2 FR II dated 20.02.2002 issued by the Government of Haryana, be also considered on delayed payment(s). However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

April 06, 2018
Ankur

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No