

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 8682 of 2018
Date of decision: 6.4.2018**

Tarsem Chand

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Ram Chander, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG., Haryana, present in court, accepts notice
on behalf of the respondent. Copies supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written
statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondent is indeed
necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the learned counsel for the
parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioner competed for selection to the post of Water Pump
Operator Grade-II, in response to the advertisement No. 7/2016 (Annexure
P-1), in the EBPGC Category. He purports to have qualified the written
examination, and was called for scrutiny of documents. Subsequently, he
was even interviewed by the Commission. As per the final results

CWP No. 8682 of 2018

pronounced by the Commission on 4.1.2018 (Annexure P-6), he failed to qualify for selection. The limited grievance that he has is; that the results declared by the Commission (at page 26 of the paper book), show that the petitioner had obtained 124 marks out 200 in the written examination, and his scrutiny status depicts him to be eligible, but he is shown to have been rejected in the interview. It is urged that the last candidate, who has been selected in his category, had obtained 116 marks, whereas, the petitioner had secured 124 marks. And, thus, even if the petitioner was not assigned any mark in the interview, which was for 25 marks, still his candidature could not have been ignored. It is submitted that even though the process of selection has since been concluded, but the appointments are yet to be made.

In response, learned State counsel submits that claim/grievance of the petitioner shall be considered and dealt with by the respondent, within a period of three weeks from today. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed and communicated to him and also to his counsel.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in the above terms. In the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

6.4.2018
Ak Sharma

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO