

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-8667-2018 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 23.05.2018**

Awan Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL,  
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE.**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.**

Present:- Mr. Anurag Chopra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Avinit Avasthi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate for respondent No.3.

....

**AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, ACJ.**

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of a writ of mandamus directing respondents No.1 and 2 to accept the technical bid of the petitioner for appointment as the Transport Contractor for Cluster Chabhal, Tarn Taran in pursuance to the transportation policy dated 06.03.2018 (Annexure P-1).

2. On the last date of hearing i.e. on 21.05.2018, the following order was passed by this Court:

*“Learned counsel for respondent No.3 inter alia referred to serial No.61 of Annexure P-4, wherein, the number of vehicle mentioned as 'PB10-DL-9512' indicating the name of the holder has been given as 'Simranjit Kaur' and father name is mentioned as 'Sukhwinder Singh', whereas, according to the learned counsel for respondent No.3, as per Annexure R-3/1,*

*the aforesaid registration number pertains to a motorcycle and the owner name is mentioned as 'Amarjit Singh'.*

*Further, learned counsel for respondent No.3 referred to serial No.77 of Annexure P-5, wherein the number of vehicle mentioned as 'HR68-A-3162' belongs to 'Satnam Singh S/o Balbir Singh'. It was urged by the learned counsel for respondent No.3 that as per Annexure R-3/1, the said number pertains to an 'Earth Moving Equipment (M)' and belongs to one 'Gita Ram'.*

*The aforesaid instances which have been given by the learned counsel for respondent No.3 are only illustrative and not exhaustive. However, learned counsel for respondent No.3 states that certain other discrepancies with regard to the vehicles numbers submitted by the petitioner have also been mentioned in Annexure R-3/1.*

*In order to test the bona fides of the petitioner, learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to produce the registration certificates of the aforesaid two vehicles in Court on the adjourned date.*

*Adjourned to 23.05.2018."*

3. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the objections raised, inquiry was made from the petitioner and he did not dispute the stand taken by respondent No.3 on 21.05.2018. He accordingly prays for withdrawal of the petition.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn.

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)  
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE**

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)  
JUDGE**

**23.05.2018**  
*harjeet*