

133

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-8575-2018

Date of decision-05.04.2018

Bikramjit Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. G.S.Nahel, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant extension of two years of service to the petitioner who is due to retire on 12.04.2018 on attaining the age of 58 years.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.1-Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh to consider and decide the representation dated 23.12.2017 (Annexure P-2) preferably prior to the date of superannuation of the petitioner.

Heard.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1-Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh to consider and decide the representation dated 23.12.2017 (Annexure P-2)

preferably prior to the date of superannuation of the petitioner. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

05.04.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No